



Tata Steel UK Limited

Electric Arc Furnace

Reptile Survey Report

2487033 P&C EAF (Rev00)

MAY 2024

RSK GENERAL NOTES

Project No.: 2487033

Title: Electric Arc Furnace – Reptile Survey Report

Client: Tata Steel UK Limited

Date: May 2024

Office: Cardiff

Status: Rev 00

Author

Kailey O'Brien




Signature

Date:

20/05/2024

**Technical and
quality reviewer**

Mark Lang Technical Director



Signature

Date:

30/05/2024

**Project
manager**

Alexandra Ellis
Principal Ecologist
MCIEEM



Signature

Date:

31/05/2024

RSK Biocensus (RSK) has prepared this report for the sole use of the client, showing reasonable skill and care, for the intended purposes as stated in the agreement under which this work was completed. The report may not be relied upon by any other party without the express agreement of the client and RSK. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report.

Where any data supplied by the client or from other sources have been used, it has been assumed that the information is correct. No responsibility can be accepted by RSK Biocensus for inaccuracies in the data supplied by any other party. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are based on the assumption that all relevant information has been supplied by those bodies from whom it was requested.

No part of this report may be copied or duplicated without the express permission of RSK and the party for whom it was prepared.

Where field investigations have been carried out, these have been restricted to a level of detail required to achieve the stated objectives of the work.

This work has been undertaken in accordance with the quality management system of RSK Biocensus.

Switchboard: +44 (0)330 223 1074

Company contact: Enquiries@biocensus.co.uk

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The report presents the findings of reptile surveys carried out on land at Tata Steelworks in Port Talbot, South Wales. The surveys were carried out between April and June 2022. This report has been produced to support the Electric Arc Furnace project. The surveys were based on the 2021/2022 survey area (the boundary of the previous iteration of the project). Update surveys were not completed with the change in red line boundary as the habitat areas covered were considered representative of the wider site.

The purpose of this survey was to establish the presence or likely absence of reptiles in connection with the proposed development. Habitat suitable for reptiles comprised neutral grassland, scrub, ephemeral short perennial vegetation, waterbodies/ watercourses and woodland which was identified in a preliminary ecological appraisal (PEA) of the site by RSK Biocensus in 2021. The background data search identified records of grass snake, slow-worm and common lizard within 1 km of the site.

Slow-worm, common lizard, and grass snake were recorded across the site.

An estimated population size classification was carried out and a low population was estimated for grass snake, and a good population was estimated for both common lizard and slow-worm.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----------|
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Purpose of this report | 1 |
| 1.2 Background | 1 |
| 1.3 Ecological Context | 1 |
| 1.4 Development Proposals | 2 |
| 2.0 METHODOLOGY | 3 |
| 2.1 Artificial refuge surveys | 3 |
| 2.2 Population estimate | 3 |
| 3.0 RESULTS | 4 |
| 3.1 Artificial refuge survey | 4 |
| 3.2 Population estimate | 4 |
| 4.0 EVALUATION AND CONCLUSIONS | 5 |
| 5.0 REFERENCES | 6 |
| 6.0 FIGURES | 7 |
| APPENDIX A – SURVEY CONDITIONS | 8 |
| APPENDIX B – RAW SURVEY DATA | 9 |

TABLES

| | |
|---|---|
| Table 1: Population estimate classification according to Froglife (1999)..... | 3 |
| Table 2: Summary of reptile survey results..... | 4 |
| Table 3: Maximum counts of adults and population estimates | 4 |

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of this report

- 1.1.1 The report presents the findings of reptile surveys carried out on land at Tata Steelworks in Port Talbot, South Wales (central Grid Ref SS 77524 86021). The area termed 'the site' throughout this report is delineated on Figure 1 by the red-line boundary.
- 1.1.2 Surveys were commissioned to inform the planning process and Environmental Statement ecology chapter in respect to reptiles using the site. The surveys were undertaken to determine the level of reptile activity at the site, identify if reptiles could be affected by the proposals and, if necessary, inform a mitigation strategy to reduce impacts to non-significant levels.

1.2 Background

- 1.2.1 The reptile surveys were carried out between April and June 2022 within the 2021/2022 survey area (shown in purple in Figure 1). Subsequently, adjustments were made to the proposed development, however, no additional habitat with connectivity to the wider landscape was identified for further survey (shown in red in Figure 1). Therefore, the original survey scope covered sufficient areas to inform the development proposals.

1.3 Ecological Context

- 1.3.1 A preliminary ecological appraisal (PEA), including a background data search (BDS) was completed by RSK (RSK, October 2021). Records of grass snake (*Natrix helvetica*), slow-worm (*Anguis fragilis*) and common lizard (*Zootoca vivipara*) were received during the BDS, within 1 km of the site boundary. Habitat within the site boundary was identified as suitable for common species of reptile during the PEA.
- 1.3.2 The 162.7 ha site is located to the south-east of the town of Port Talbot. The site is predominately bare ground/ developed land. Open mosaic habitat is the most dominant habitat type comprising a mixture of scrub, grassland and ephemeral vegetation. There are a number of channels throughout the site and one large lake associated with the steelworks, located at the northern extent of the site.
- 1.3.3 The site is immediately bordered to the north, east and west by Tata Steelworks with coastal floodplain grassland, reedbed, an access road and Margam Moors Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) adjacent to the south of the site. The surrounding landscape is a mixture of woodland, hedgerows, waterbodies (reservoir), coastal floodplain grassland and residential properties within Margam. Swansea Bay (Bristol Channel) is located approximately 880 m west of the site.

1.4 Development Proposals

- 1.4.1 The Proposed Development will require the demolition of existing buildings and structures, and the construction of a new EAF steel production facility. The Proposed Development also includes a scrap metal handling facility and associated scrap yards, slag processing facility, chemical and material storage structures, buildings, handling systems, electrical control rooms and power infrastructure, laboratories, offices and ancillary facilities, together with new and amended transport infrastructure, landscaping and associated development.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Artificial refuge surveys

- 2.1.1 The standard method for establishing reptile presence is to survey using artificial refuges (roofing felt tiles and squares of corrugated metal, known as 'tins' c.0.5 m²), which are placed in suitable reptile habitat. The felt tiles and metal tins absorb the heat of the sun and attract reptiles, which use them for shelter and basking to aid temperature regulation. This allows surveyors to find reptiles that would otherwise be widely dispersed and well-hidden.
- 2.1.2 Reptile survey visits using 184 refuges were carried out between April and June 2022 when reptiles are active but before the weather gets too warm to negate the need for basking, weather conditions for each survey can be found in Appendix A. They were placed in suitable habitat in a small area to the west of the site as shown in Figure 1. The refuges were checked for reptiles seven times during suitable weather. Ideal weather conditions are bright sunshine between the hours of 0830 and 1100 or 1600 to 1830, with air temperatures between 9 and 15 °C, or if there is hazy or intermittent sunshine and little wind then between 9 and 18 °C, not during rain. When checking tins, a general watch was kept for other signs of reptiles, e.g. grass snake eggs, excrement or sloughed skins (often beneath refuges).

2.2 Population estimate

- 2.2.1 Although a full population estimate was not completed, using the data collected in the presence/ likely absence survey, an indication of the population size on the site can be estimated using the population size classification system shown in Table 1. Reptile numbers relate to the maximum number of adults of each species spotted during one reptile survey conducted on one day in suitable weather conditions. The population estimate using peak counts does not include juveniles because they are often abundant, but they have a high rate of mortality in their first year, so inclusion can distort population assessments. The size-class classification provides a general guide of the numbers likely to be found on site.

Table 1: Population estimate classification according to Froglife (1999)

| Species | Low Population | Good Population | Exceptional Population |
|---------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Adder | <5 | 5-10 | >10 |
| Common lizard | <5 | 5-10 | >10 |
| Grass snake | <5 | 5-20 | >20 |
| Slow-worm | <5 | 5-20 | >20 |

* The figures in the table pertain to the maximum number of adults seen and/or observed under tins (placed in a density of 10 per hectare), by one person in one day.

3.0 RESULTS

3.1 Artificial refuge survey

- 3.1.1 Three species of common reptile was found on the site; slow-worm, common lizard and grass snake, as shown on Figure 2. All of these species are considered to be widespread.
- 3.1.2 Table 2 summarises the results of visits one to seven, raw survey data can be found in Appendix B. The locations of the records are shown on Figure 2.

Table 2: Summary of reptile survey results

| Species | Peak Adult Count |
|---------------|------------------|
| Common lizard | 10 (visit 4) |
| Grass snake | 1 (visit 4) |
| Slow-worm | 19 (visit 3) |

- 3.1.3 Several records of common lizard and slow-worm were also noted during other ecological surveys.

3.2 Population estimate

- 3.2.1 The maximum number of adults of each species recorded during one reptile survey visit conducted on one day in suitable weather conditions is shown in Table 3. The population classification estimate is based upon seven surveys undertaken to define presence/ likely-absence and therefore, only an estimate for population is provided (Table 3). This is defined by the number of reptiles recorded per hectare.
- 3.2.2 Based on Froglife guidance, the site supports a low population of grass snake and good populations of common lizard and slow-worm.

Table 3: Maximum counts of adults and population estimates

| Species | Peak Count | Population Estimate |
|---------------|------------|---------------------|
| Grass snake | 1 | Low population |
| Common lizard | 10 | Good population |
| Slow-worm | 19 | Good population |

4.0 EVALUATION AND CONCLUSIONS

- 4.1.1 Based on the survey findings and population estimates, the site supports three species of common reptile (grass snake, common lizard and slow-worm). There is a low population estimate for grass snake and a good population estimate for both common lizard and slow-worm. The grass snake was recorded west of the section of the Mother Ditch (North) which forms a large lagoon, in areas of high-quality reptile habitat (rough grassland and dense scrub). The slow-worm and common lizard records were concentrated within the southern area of the site in high quality reptile habitat (woodland edge, rough grassland and dense scrub).

5.0 REFERENCES


Froglife (1999). *Reptile survey: an introduction to planning, conducting and interpreting surveys for snake and lizard conservation*. Froglife Advice Sheet 10. Froglife, Halesworth.

RSK Biocensus (October 2021), *Project Cronus: Port Talbot – PEA REV00*. RSK


6.0 FIGURES

Figure 1 – Reptile Refugia Locations

Figure 2 – Reptile Survey Results



- Legend:
- Site boundary
 - 2021/2022 survey area
 - Reptile refugia location





| | | | | | |
|-----|------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|
| 00 | 16/08/2024 | 2487033 | TG | EC | KOB |
| Rev | Date | Description | Drm | Chk | App |

P&C EAF



TITLE: Figure 1:
Reptile refugia locations





Legend:

- Site boundary
- 2021/2022 survey area

Reptile Species

- Common Lizard
- Grass Snake
- Slow Worm

| 00 | 16/08/2024 | 2487033 | TG | EC | KOB |
|-----|------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Rev | Date | Description | Drm | Chk | App |

P&C EAF

RSK
biocensus
EXPERTS IN ECOLOGY

TITLE: Figure 2:
Reptile survey results

0 140 280 420

Metres

SCALE: 1:11,000 @ A3

REV 00

APPENDIX A – SURVEY CONDITIONS

| Survey Visit | Date | Temp. (Air) | Wind (Beaufort) | Cloud (Octas) | Rain (0-5) | Suitable Conditions Yes, No, Fair |
|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | 07.04.2022 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 0 | Yes |
| 2 | 26.04.2022 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 0 | Yes |
| 3 | 03.05.2022 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | Yes |
| 4 | 25.05.2022 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 0 | Yes |
| 5 | 17.06.2022 | 18 | 2 | 3 | 0 | Fair |
| 6 | 22.06.2022 | 17 | 1 | 4 | 0 | Fair |
| 7 | 01.07.2022 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 0 | Fair |

APPENDIX B – RAW SURVEY DATA

| Species | | Visit 1 07.04.22 | Visit 2 26.04.22 | Visit 3 03.05.22 | Visit 4 25.05.22 | Visit 5 17.06.22 | Visit 6 22.06.22 | Visit 7 01.07.22 | Highest Adult Count |
|------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Grass snake | Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Female | | | | 1 | | | | |
| | Juvenile | | | | 0 | | | | |
| | Unknown | | | | 0 | | | | |
| Common Lizard | Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| | Female | | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | |
| | Juvenile | | | 0 | 0 | | | 1 | |
| | Unknown | | | 4 | 10 | | | 0 | |
| Slow-worm | Male | 3 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 |
| | Female | 6 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 8 | |
| | Juvenile | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | |
| | Unknown | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |



**INVESTORS
IN PEOPLE**

RSK Biocensus is owned by RSK Environment Ltd

Registered office

Spring Lodge, 172, Chester Road, Helsby, Frodsham, England, WA6 0AR, UK

Registered in England No. 04364279

www.rsk.co.uk